UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,999	12/16/2003	Gavriela D. Lavie	5760-14800 5749	
35690 7590 12/20/2007 MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C. P.O. BOX 398			EXAMINER	
			RAYYAN, SUSAN F	
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2167	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		1 1 1 1				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/736,999	LAVIE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Susan F. Rayyan	2167			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become AB ANDONE!	I lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/4/	<u> 2007</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-36</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>26-36</u> is/are rejected.		•			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number:

10/736,999 Art Unit: 2167

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 4, 2007 has been entered.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- Claims 1-25 are canceled.
- 3. Claims 26-36 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application Number 2002/0184065 issued to Cody Menard et al ("Menard") and US 2003/0065986 issued to Noam Fraenkel et al.

10/736,999 Art Unit: 2167

As per claim 26 Menard teaches:

detecting a change to a database system(see paragraph 37, lines 1-9, databases, and see paragraph 38 lines 2-5, as identify patterns of data which allow for determining state of the system or problems that may be present);

Menard does not explicitly teach determining a plurality of predicted outcomes resulting from the detected change, wherein the plurality of predicted outcomes relate to future operation of the database system, monitoring the database system for an occurrence of at least one of the predicted outcomes, based on the monitoring. detecting the occurrence of at least one of the predicted outcomes and based on the detecting, displaying to a user an indication of the occurrence of the at least one of the predicted outcomes. Fraenkel teaches determining a plurality of predicted outcomes resulting from the detected change, wherein the plurality of predicted outcomes relate to future operation of the database system, monitoring the database system for an occurrence of at least one of the predicted outcomes, based on the monitoring, detecting the occurrence of at least one of the predicted outcomes and based on the detecting, displaying to a user an indication of the occurrence of the at least one of the predicted outcomes (paragraph 97, alerts wizard allows monitoring performance parameters in real-time and generating alerts including availability and response time) to allow users to monitor performance parameters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Menard with determining a plurality of predicted outcomes resulting from the detected change, wherein the plurality of predicted

Application/Control Number:

10/736,999

Art Unit: 2167

level).

outcomes relate to future operation of the database system, monitoring the database system for an occurrence of at least one of the predicted outcomes, based on the monitoring, detecting the occurrence of at least one of the predicted outcomes and based on the detecting, displaying to a user an indication of the occurrence of the at least one of the predicted outcomes to allow users to monitor performance parameters as described by Fraenkel at (paragraph 97).

As per claim 27 same as claim arguments above and Menard teaches: generating a determination that the change to the database system has deceased performance of the database system, determining a degree of confidence in the determination that the change to the database system has deceased performance of the database system, and displaying to the user the degree of confidence in the determination that the change to the database system has deceased performance of the database system (paragraph 186, indication normal, warning, poor performance

As per claim 28 same as claim arguments above and Menard teaches: wherein said detected change indicates a change in response latency to an input provided to said database system (paragraph 53, monitor Oracle database activity).

As per claim 29 same as claim arguments above and Menard teaches:

wherein said attribute of said database system is related to the number and/or type of transactions executed by the database system (paragraph 53, monitor Oracle database activity).

As per claim 30 same as claim arguments above and Menard teaches:

...wherein said attribute of said database system is related to timing information associated with transactions executed by the database system (paragraph 53, monitor Oracle database activity).

As per claim 31 same as claim arguments above and Menard teaches:

... wherein said attribute of said database system is related to configuration information associated with the database server or related to data schema of the database system paragraph 53, monitor Oracle database activity).

Claims 32, 34, 36 are rejected based on the same rationale as claim 26 above.

Claims 33, 35 are rejected based on the same rationale as claim 27 above.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# **Contact Information**

10/736,999

Art Unit: 2167

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan F. Rayyan whose telephone number is 571-272-1675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Susan Rayyan
December 18, 2007

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100